

*Note: Decisions of a three-justice panel are not to be considered as precedent before any tribunal.*

**ENTRY ORDER**

SUPREME COURT DOCKET NO. 2002-565

JULY TERM, 2003

	}	APPEALED FROM:
	}	
State of Vermont	}	District Court of Vermont, Unit No. 3,
	}	Washington Circuit
v.	}	
	}	DOCKET NO. 1297-10-01 WnCr
Roger Haselton	}	
	}	Trial Judge: Edward J. Cashman & Patricia
	}	M. Zimmerman
	}	

In the above-entitled cause, the Clerk will enter:

Defendant appeals his conviction of driving with a suspended license in violation of 23 V.S.A. § 674(b). We affirm.

On September 14, 2002, defendant was stopped and cited for operating a motor vehicle with a suspended license. At the time, defendant was driving a farm tractor through Montpelier, Vermont on his way to Fairfield, Vermont. Defendant appeals to this Court following a conviction by jury of the offense. His rambling pro se brief includes pages of citations and statements of law, but no specific claims of error. Defendant emphasizes his federal constitutional right to travel, but the state has the power " to adopt reasonable measures for the promotion of safety upon our public highways in the interests of motorists and motorcyclists and others who may use them." State v. Solomon, 128 Vt. 197, 199 (1969). As the district court stated, defendant' s constitutional claims, while passionately presented, are completely unfounded. Further, although under certain circumstances a person may operate a farm tractor without obtaining a driver' s license, 23 V.S.A. §602(a), those circumstances did not exist here, and, in any event, that exception does not preclude the application of §674. In short, we discern no basis for overturning the conviction.

Affirmed.

BY THE COURT:

\_\_\_\_\_  
Jeffrey L. Amestoy, Chief Justice

\_\_\_\_\_  
Marilyn S. Skoglund, Associate Justice

\_\_\_\_\_  
Frederic W. Allen, Chief Justice (Ret.)

Specially Assigned