State of Vermont Superior Court—Environmental Division

	ENTRY REGARD	OING MOTION
In re Wood NOV and Permit Applications (Appeal from Town of Hartford Zoning Board of Adjus		
**************************************	<u>l</u>	**************************************
•	torney for Appellant/Applica	ant Marc Wood Ellis, Attorney for Appellee Town of Hartford
X Granted	Denied	Other
to respond to the Town of latest filing by the Town rebefore the Court and which do not allow him to reply, tsummary judgment based subsequent reply. In ruling on Mr. Woof May 13; we only took in and Mr. Wood's subsequent Mr. Wood's request that we allow Mr. Wood to submit a In issuing this Entry reconsider or alter that addr	Hartford's ("Town") filing of sponding to Mr. Wood's more we addressed in a separate I shat we disregard the Town's olely on the motion, the Town's olely on the motion, the Town's olely on the motion for summary just to consideration Mr. Wood's April 12 reply, plus any assembly consider these documents as subsequent reply memorance. Order we are not ruling on the rest the qualifications of the	terest of fairness, to allow Mr. Wood the opportunity of May 13, 2011. The Town's May 13 filing is the otion for summary judgment, which is also pending Entry Order issued today. Mr. Wood requests, if we is May 13 filing and issue a ruling on the motion for wn's original response of April 1, and Mr. Wood's digment, we elected to not consider the Town's filing is February 18 motion, the Town's April 1 response, ociated attachments. Therefore, we hereby GRANT in ruling on his motion. This negates the need to dum. any arguments Mr. Wood includes in this motion to Town's engineering expert. Mr. Wood is permitted Entry Order, also issued today, responding to Mr.
Thomas S. Durkin,	Judge	May 20, 2011 Date
	Appellant/Applicant Marc Woo	Clerk's Initials

Kimberlee Sturtevant, Co-counsel for Appellee